

Measures on Dementia Attitudes & Knowledge

In 2017, the World Health Organisation (WHO) launched the global action plan on the public health response to dementia from 2017 to 2025; one of the action areas calls for greater dementia awareness and education, and creation of dementia-friendly societies to increase the participation of persons living with dementia in the community and maximize their autonomy.¹ Measures of dementia attitudes and knowledge are helpful for establishing individuals' attitudes towards dementia and their baseline understanding of it in order to evaluate the efficacies of dementia awareness workshops or educational interventions/programmes.²

The tables in the next few pages summarise the findings from two systematic reviews^{3,4} on measures of dementia attitudes and knowledge that have been administered on family and professional caregivers. These reviews compared the domains which the different instruments measure, and their strengths, limitations and psychometric properties. It should be noted that each tool measures different aspects of dementia. Care professionals may choose to use any of the following instruments that best meets their needs.

Click on the name of the tools and/or their developer(s) to access the instrument and its journal article:

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
Alzheimer's Disease Knowledge ⁵ (ADK) Test Click here to access the journal article. The test's items and scale options are included in the article.	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevalence Etiology Diagnosis Symptoms Proposed cures Management of problem behaviors and symptoms Public policy affecting reimbursement Role of supportive services 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can provide information on participants' overall and domain-specific level of dementia knowledge Sensitive to detect change following educational interventions/ programmes Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Older tool 	Reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple studies reported acceptable to good internal consistency, Cronbach's α = .71 to .92; and A study reported marginal test-retest reliability, r = .62. Validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A study reported low to moderate convergent validity with Alzheimer's Disease Knowledge Scale, r = .65, and Knowledge of Memory Aging Questionnaire, r = .47; and Evidence of construct validity – can differentiate between groups with different levels of knowledge about AD 	Credit and cite the developers to use the tool. No other permissions are required.

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
Alzheimer's Disease Knowledge Scale ⁶ (ADKS) Click here to access the scale, along with a documentation of answers.	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk factors Assessment and diagnosis Symptoms Disease progression Life impact Caregiving Treatment and management 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quick and easy to complete Sensitive to detect change following educational interventions/ programmes Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not cover some relevant domains, such as dementia progression, daily variability in dementia symptoms, genetics of dementia, and prevalence of dementia. Possible ceiling effects in more expert groups, such as dementia caregivers and dementia specialists Inconsistent reliability 	Reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A study reported good test-retest reliability, $r = .81$; and Multiple studies reported poor to good internal consistency, Cronbach's $\alpha = .32$ to $.98$. Validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A study reported moderate convergent validity with ADK Test, $r = .65$; Evidence of construct validity – can differentiate between groups with different levels of knowledge about AD; and Multiple studies reported predictive validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall: $r = .50$ Caregivers: $r = .46$ Care professionals: $r = .39$ Older adults: $r = .41$ Undergraduates: $r = .20$ 	Credit and cite the developers to use the tool. No other permissions are required.

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
<p>Approaches to Dementia Questionnaire⁷ (ADQ)</p> <p>Click here to access the journal article. The instrument is included in the article.</p>	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Person-centredness: The extent to which individuals adopt a person-centered approach and understanding Hope: Individuals' level of optimism/hopefulness about the abilities and the future of the person affected by dementia 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quick and easy to administer. Has been used in multiple studies, where most of them took place in long-term care settings and some are large-scale studies.⁸ <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can be difficult to differentiate between genuine attitudinal differences and confounding influences such as level of knowledge and education.⁸ 	<p>Reliability: Multiple studies have established that the tool has good reliability:</p> <p>Good internal consistency:^{7,9}</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cronbach's $\alpha = .78$ and $.83$ for overall scale Cronbach's $\alpha = .73$ and $.76$ for Hope subscale Cronbach's $\alpha = .74$ and $.85$ for Person-centredness subscale <p>1.</p> <p>Good test-retest reliability (correlation of two administrations with a six-month interval):⁷</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Correlation coefficient = $.76$ for overall scale Correlation coefficient = $.70$ for Hope subscale Correlation coefficient = $.69$ for Hope subscale <p>Validity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Validated against direct observation of the quality of staff care interactions.¹⁰ 	Credit and cite the developer to use the tool. No other permissions are required.

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
<p>Dementia Attitudes Scale¹¹ (DAS)</p> <p>Click here to access the journal article. The instrument's items and scale options are included in the article.</p>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dementia knowledge • Social comfort 	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quick to complete (within 15 minutes) <p>Limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible ceiling effects • Social desirability may influence results 	<p>Reliability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A study reported good internal consistency, total-scale Cronbach's $\alpha = .83$ to $.85$. <p>Validity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A study reported evidence of convergent validity – significant correlations ($r = .44$ to $.55$) between the DAS and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Kogan Attitudes toward Old People Scale ○ Fraboni Scale of Ageism ○ Attitudes toward Disabled Persons Scale ○ Interaction with Disabled Persons Scale ○ Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale 	Credit and cite the developers to use the tool. No other permissions are required.

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
Dementia Knowledge Assessment Scale ¹² (DKAS) Click here to access the journal article. The scale's items and answers are included in the article.	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Causes and Characteristics Communication and Behaviour Care Considerations Risks and Health Promotion 	Strengths: ¹³ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Higher sensitivity Lower ceiling effect among respondents who have adequate knowledge about dementia Broader representation of dementia-related topics Developed and tested on a large, diverse sample (international respondents who included care professionals and members of general public) 	Reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acceptable to good internal consistency, with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cronbach's α of .85 for the overall scale; and Cronbach's α of .65 to .76 for the subscales.¹² Validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has discriminative validity – significant differences between different groups of respondents (qualified nurses and healthcare professionals scored better than healthcare workers and students, and family carers).¹² 	Credit and cite the developers to use the tool. No other permissions are required.

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
Dementia Knowledge Assessment Tool Version 2 (DKAT2) ¹⁴ Click here to access the journal article. The tool's items and scale options are included in the article.	21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aetiology • Course • Prognosis • Symptoms • Psychosocial • Management 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can be completed in a short duration • Covers dementia knowledge and care rather than a specific dementia-related illness, thus more broadly applicable • Provides indications of misunderstandings or where knowledge is lacking Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible ceiling effects 	Reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A study reported acceptable internal consistency, Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.79$ Validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A study reported evidence of content validity (established by experts); and • Evidence of construct validity – significant differences between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Professional and nonprofessional staff ○ Participants' baseline and scores following educational programme. 	Credit and cite the developers to use the tool. No other permissions are required.

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
Dementia Knowledge 20 ¹⁵ (DK-20) Click here to access the journal article.	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biopsychosocial dementia knowledge Care-specific knowledge 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quick to complete (within 15 minutes) Can identify gaps in knowledge, thus highlighting areas for trainings or educational interventions Possibly lower ceiling effect among respondents who have adequate knowledge about dementia¹⁶ Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developed for only unqualified care staff 	Reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A study reported acceptable test-retest reliability, $r = .73$; and Marginal internal consistency, Cronbach's $\alpha = .63$ Validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of face validity – in-depth steps taken during the conceptual development process; Evidence of content validity – Attained experts' consensus on the "correct" answers for all items; Evidence of convergent validity – significant correlations between DK-20 and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approaches to Dementia Questionnaire Job Satisfaction Index 	

Tool & Developer(s)	Items	Domains Measured	Strengths & Limitations	Psychometric Properties	Permission to Use
Knowledge in Dementia (KIDE) Scale ¹⁷ Click here to access the journal article. The instrument's items and scale options are included in the article.	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facts and figures about dementia Signs and symptoms about dementia Communication with the person with dementia Accompanying behavior changes 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitive to detect changes in staff knowledge following educational training programme Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developed for only general hospital staff 	Reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiple studies have reported acceptable internal consistency, Cronbach's alpha = .66 to .72; and Overall KMO = .70 to .76 Validity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate face validity and Good content validity due to the discussion between authors regarding the items and the process of calculating KMO and alpha when a variable is deleted 	Credit and cite the developers to use the tool. No other permissions are required.
Knowledge of Alzheimer Disease (KAD) Scale ¹⁸	42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Epidemiology and etiology Perceived effectiveness of existing treatments Perceived threat of developing AD for oneself How one learned about AD 	Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possibly lower ceiling effect among respondents who have adequate knowledge about dementia¹⁶ Limitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Validity has not been tested The scale has not been tested on a male sample 	Reliability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A study reported acceptable to excellent Internal consistency for all 4 sub-scales and across different ethnic groups, Cronbach's α = .57 to .96. Validity: Nil	

References

1. World Health Organization. (2017). *Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017 – 2025*.
<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/259615/9789241513487-eng.pdf;jsessionid=C3FD854E674571BAEC0210EAFD4D59D4?sequence=1>
2. Annear M. J., Toye C. M., Eccleston, C. E., McInerney, F. J., Elliott, K. J., Tranter, B. K., Hartley, T., & Robinson, A. L. (2015). Dementia Knowledge Assessment Scale: Development and preliminary psychometric properties. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63(11), 2375-2381.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13707>
3. Resciniti, N. V., Tang, W., Tabassum, M., Pearson, J. L., Spencer, S. M., Lohman, M. C., Ehlers, D. K., Al-Hasan, D., Miller, M. C., Teixeira, A., & Friedman, D. B. (2020). Knowledge evaluation instruments for dementia caregiver education programs: A scoping review. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, 20(5), 397-413. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12299>
4. Sullivan, K. A., & Mullan, M. A. (2016). Comparison of the psychometric properties of four dementia knowledge measures: Which test should be used with dementia care staff? *Australasian Journal on Ageing*, 36(1), 38-45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12299>
5. Dieckmann, L., Zarit, S. H., Zarit, J. M., & Gatz, M. (1988). The Alzheimer's disease knowledge test. *The Gerontologist*, 28(3), 402-408.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/28.3.402>
6. Carpenter, B. D., Balsis, S., Otilingam, P. G., Hanson, P. K., & Gatz, M. (2009). The Alzheimer's disease knowledge scale: Development and psychometric properties. *The Gerontologist*, 49(2), 236-247. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnp023
7. Lintern, T. C. (2001). *Quality in dementia care: Evaluating staff attitudes and behaviour* [PhD Thesis]. University of Wales Bangor.
8. De Vries, K., Drury-Ruddlesden, J., & McGill, G. (2019). Investigation into attitudes towards older people with dementia in acute hospital using the approaches to dementia questionnaire. *Dementia*, 0(0), 1-19. doi:10.1177/1471301219857577
9. Schepers, A. K., Orrell, M., Shanahan, N., & Spector, A. (2012). Sense of competence in dementia care staff (SCIDS) scale: Development, reliability and validity. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 24(7), 1153-1162. doi: 10.1017/S104161021100247X
10. Macdonald, A. J. D., & Woods, R. T. (2005). Attitudes to dementia and dementia care held by nursing staff in U.K. care "non-EMI" homes: What difference do they make? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 17(3), 383-391. doi:10.1017/s104161020500150x

11. O'Connor, M. L., & McFadden, S. H. (2010). Development and psychometric validation of the dementia attitudes scale. *International Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 2010, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/454218>
12. Annear, M. J., Toye, C., Elliott, K. J., McInerney, F., Eccleston, C., & Robinson, A. (2017). Dementia knowledge assessment scale (DKAS): Confirmatory factor analysis and comparative subscale scores among an international cohort. *BMC Geriatrics*, 17(168), 1-11. doi: 0.1186/s12877-017-0552-y
13. Annear, M. J., Eccleston, C., McInerney, F., Elliott, K., Toye, C., Tranter, B., & Robinson, A. (2016). A new standard in dementia knowledge measurement: Comparative validation of the Dementia Knowledge Assessment Scale and the Alzheimer's Disease Knowledge Scale. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 64, 1329-1334.
14. Toye, C., Lester, L., Popescu, A., McInerney, F., Andrews, S., & Robinson, A. L. (2014). Dementia knowledge assessment tool version two: Development of a tool to inform preparation for care planning and delivery in families and care staff. *Dementia (London)*, 13(2), 248-256. doi: 10.1177/1471301212471960.
15. Shanahan, N., Orrell, M., Schepers, A. K., & Spector, A. (2013). The development and evaluation of the DK-20: A knowledge of dementia measure. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 25(11), 1899-1907. doi: 10.1017/S1041610213001142.
16. Resciniti, N. V., Tang, W., Tabassum, M., Pearson, J. L., Spencer, S. M., Lohman, M. C., Ehlers, D. K., Al-Hasan, D., Miller, M. C., Teixeira, A., & Friedman, D. B. (2020). Knowledge evaluation instruments for dementia caregiver education programs: A scoping review. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*. doi:10.1111/ggi.13901
17. Elvish, R., Burrow, S., Cawley, R., Harney, K., Graham, P., Pilling, M., Gregory, J., Roach, P., Fossey, J., & Keady, J. (2014). 'Getting to know me': The development and evaluation of a training programme for enhancing skills in the care of people with dementia in general hospital settings. *Aging & Mental Health*, 18(4), 481-488. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2013.856860.
18. Gray, H. L., Jimenez, D. E., Cucciare, M. A., Tong, H. Q., & Gallagher-Thompson, D. (2009). Ethnic differences in beliefs regarding Alzheimer disease among dementia family caregivers. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17(11), 925-933. doi: 10.1097/jgp.0b013e3181ad4f3c